

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/043,460	01/10/2002		Daisuke Terasawa	PA990314C1	7097	
23696	7590	04/06/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
Qualcomm I		ated	GHULAMALI, QUTBUDDIN			
Patents Department 5775 Morehouse Drive				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, C	A 9212	1-1714	2637			

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- (A)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	· · ;				
Office Astinus Communication	10/043,460	TERASAWA ET A	L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Qutub Ghulamali	2637					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a real of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will and the period for reply will. Set and the period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will be set or extended period f	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	January 2002.						
, _	his action is non-final.		•				
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with definition 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya rection is required if the drawing	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the International Burnets See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the papplication from the Internation for a limit of the papplication from the Internation f	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/10/02.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO 	O-152)				

Art Unit: 2637

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,385,264. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims of the application are clearly encompassed by claims U.S. Patent No. 6,385,264. In other words, every limitations of the application are included in the patent, except, claims 1 and 11.

Regarding claims 1 and 11 of the instant application recites "receiving said primary synchronization channel" and "receiver for receiver said primary synchronization channel" respectively, whereas in the US Patent "transmitting said primary synchronization channel" and "transmitter for transmitting said primary synchronization channel" respectively, is claimed.

In order to receive a transmitted said primary synchronization channel, a receiver is essentially required for receiving said primary synchronization channel. Given the facts, it would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/043,460 Page 3

Art Unit: 2637

obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to present the claim in an alternate way so as to minimize cost and allow the base station to acquire the transmitted synchronization channel.

Regarding claims 2-10 and 12-20 in the instant application are mirror copies (verbatim recitation) of claims 2-10 and 12-20, claimed in the patent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chitrapu et al (US Pub. 2003/0031162).

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Chitrapu discloses an apparatus and a method having a plurality of base station, first base station and second base stations both sharing a same primary synchronization code (PSC) (abstract) comprising:

generating a primary synchronization channel having said primary synchronization code (abstract; col. 1, section 0004);

rotating said primary synchronization channel (PSC) in phase according to a phase rotation sequence (col. 3, sections 0034, 0035); and

receiver for receiving said primary synchronization channel (col. 1, sections 0003 and 0009).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chitrapu et al (US Pub. 2003/0031162) in view of Sriram et al (US Pub. 2005/0018646).

Regarding claims 2 and 12, Chitrapu discloses every feature of the claimed invention to claim 1. Chitrapu, however, is silent regarding phase rotation sequence is pseudorandom. In the same field of endeavor, Sriram discloses WCDMA base station broadcast primary and secondary synchronization codes with a mobile receiver wherein phase rotation sequence is pseudorandom (col. 1, section 0005). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use PN phase rotation sequence as taught by Sriram in the apparatus of Chitrapu because it can minimize the spreading of transmitted signal over a wide bandwidth.

Regarding claims 3, 4, 13 and 14, Chitrapu in combination with Sriram disclose every feature of the claimed invention to claims 2 and 12, Chitrapu, however, further discloses phase

Art Unit: 2637

rotation sequence includes changing phase rotation once per slot (time slot) and once per frame (figs. 2A, B; col. 1, sections 0004, 0026, 0028).

Regarding claims 7 and 17, Chitrapu in combination with Sriram disclose every feature of the claimed invention to claims 1-2, 11-12 above. Chitrapu, however, further discloses, generating a secondary synchronization channel having a secondary synchronization code, said phase rotation sequence being based at least in part on said secondary synchronization code (SSC) (abstract; col. 1, sections 0004, 0006, 0009, 0037).

Regarding claims 8 and 9, Chitrapu in combination with Sriram disclose every feature of the claimed invention to claims 1, 2, 7 above. Chitrapu, however, further discloses combining (adding) said primary synchronization channel and said secondary synchronization channel to produce a synchronization channel (col. 3, sections 0035, 0036); wherein said step of rotating said primary synchronization channel in phase comprises rotating said primary synchronization channel before said combining (adding) step (col. 3, sections 0035, 0036, 0037, 0038).

Regarding claims 18 and 19, Chitrapu in combination with Sriram disclose every feature of the claimed invention to claims 11, 12, 17 above. Chitrapu, however further discloses (fig. 5) a first combiner (31) for combining said primary synchronization channel and said secondary synchronization channel to produce a synchronization channel (col. 2, section 0029); wherein said phase rotator (34) is coupled between an output of said primary synchronization channel generator and an input of said first combiner (col. 3, sections 0035, 0036).

7. Claims 10 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chitrapu et al (US Pub. 2003/0031162) in view Sriram et al (US Pub. 2005/0018646) as applied

Art Unit: 2637

to claims 1, 2, 7 and 11, 12, 17 above and further in view of applicant's admitted prior art (instant application).

Regarding claims 10 and 20, Chitrapu in combination with Sriram disclose every feature of the claimed invention to claims 1, 2, 7 and 11, 12, 17 above. Chitrapu and Sriram combination, however, is silent regarding generating a dedicated channel, wherein synchronization and dedicated channel are combined to produce a downlink channel. However, the applicant's admitted prior (fig. 2; page 4, lines 9-18) discloses combining (216) synchronization channel (214) and dedicated channel (218) to produce a downlink channel. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the synchronization channel with the dedicated channel to produce a downlink channel as taught by prior art of the instant application in the system of Chitrapu and Sriram so as to minimize interference in signals while communicating data.

8. Claims 5, 6, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chitrapu et al (US Pub. 2003/0031162) in view of Sriram et al (US Pub. 2005/0018646) as applied to claims 1-4 and 11-14 above, and further in view of Heiter (US Patent 4,232,399).

Regarding claims 5, 6, 15 and 16, Chitrapu and Sriram together, disclose all limitations of claims 1-4 and 11-14 above. Chitrapu and Sriram disclosure however, is silent regarding changing phase by integer multiples of pi/2 radians. Heiter, in a similar field of endeavor, discloses a phase circulator (phase shifter or rotator) changing phase by integer multiples of pi/2 radians (multiples of 90 degrees) (abstract; col. 1, lines 45-54). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use phase change by integer

Art Unit: 2637

multiples of angular rotation as taught by Heiter in the system of Chitrapu and Sriram because it can minimize phase error loss and allow keeping the signals together.

Page 7

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patents:

Ben-Eli et al (US Pub. 2003/0081633) discloses a method and apparatus of pilot signal synchronization and module of frequency acquisition.

Blanz et al (USP 6,847,630) shows communication in an asynchronous cellular wireless network.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Qutub Ghulamali whose telephone number is (571) 272-3014. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jay Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QG.

April 1, 2005.

JAY K. PATEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8